POLS 316   Instructor Bowerson   Term Fall 16

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Yes, they were useful. I found myself citing information from the texts in papers and conversation frequently

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Dr. Bowerson made the course and content incredibly fun. Lectures were great; & there was ample discussion, etc

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

~~Maybe~~ I went into the class with an open mind and had my expectatio surpassed

3/14/2012

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Personally, I enjoyed discussing the rise of specific war conflicts as opposed to economic sanctions

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Personally, I'm not crazy about trade based stuff.

5   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High. Love the content

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Keep Bowerson instructing.t

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS ___216___ Instructor ___Bowcisox___ Term ___Fall 2016___

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

   All were useful. Reading more journal articles would be interesting.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

   Disuccsion, debate, and lectures were good and engaging.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

   I don't set expectations.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

More attention on U.S. military strategy

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

A lot of focus on the domestic audience and foreign policy.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High interest. I like foreign policy.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

It's all good.

POLS _316_   Instructor _Emerson_   Term _Fall 16_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

text book more helpful than

asigned book

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

well organized powerpoints.

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

expected this class to be

easier

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

lecture / group project was fun + interesting

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

None

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high-moderate, well organized but lectures sometimes dry

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

N/A

POLS ___316___   Instructor ___Bowersox___   Term ___Fall2016___

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Mostly useful

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were interesting, current events/factoids were particularly helpful in applying material from course

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Thought I might learn basic concepts of US foreign policy, definitely met that expectation.

(OVER)

3/14/2012

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS __216__   Instructor __Bowman__   Term __Fall__

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

A lot of the smaller readings/speeches felt less useful than the larger readings out of the books

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

All of the empirical/historical examples were interesting. There could have been more discussion, but I enjoyed all of the lectures.

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I thought the class would be challenging which it was, but I enjoyed it a lot.

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

More time could have been spent talking about the organizational aspects of some areas of gov't (NSC, etc)

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

We spent a long time talking about entrepreneurship + Ma.

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high because the interaction of polisci + IR is interesting

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

N/A

POLS _316_   Instructor _Bowersox_   Term _Fall_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings were fairly useful.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were great. Prof. Bowersox was animated and knowledgable and material we would be assigned was always gone over. It was also fun to come.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected to learn more about foreign policy and I learned a ton.

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Not much. Very well run.

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

No complaints

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high interest because it was the most enjoyable poli sci class I've taken.

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

POLS 316   Instructor _Rick Rick_   Term _Fall_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Informative. I liked the articles we covered they were relevant.

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Yes, I think the class was really engaged. I think it was good.

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Yes, I liked the class & found it to be informative, I would say that I wish there were more short articles to read on current issues.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS _____ Instructor _____ Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

    Readings were useful but I found the textbook readings long and pretty useless since it had so much historical information in it that we were never tested on.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

    Interactive discussions, lectures were interesting

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

    Discussion based course, this was realized

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

more time on feds and history of intelligence community

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Some theories could have been left out, though many were interesting.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high, I'm looking to pursue a career in IC

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

He asked for thought papers so we stay on top of them

POLS _____ Instructor _____ Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

    Thought-provoking

    The readings are pretty lengthy but overall they are useful.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

    Discussions were fun.

    The lectures were good too.

In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I was expecting ~~a certain ~~ exactly what this class offers

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

I think the readings should have received more attention. maybe we could talk about the reading more often

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

None

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

POLS _____ Instructor _Bowerbox_ Term _Fall 2016_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

readings were helpful and added to the in class lectures. The none book readings weren't particularly useful

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Class was very good and interesting. Lectures were good amount of discussion

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Expect a course similar to other 300 level pol sci course This course met that expectation

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

More time or voting and role of media

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high - to - moderate , very interesting subject

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

All readings were relevant

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I liked the small class size and I found the lecture interesting. The material was organized and I felt as if it was ordered logically

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I wanted to learn about foreign policy. The expectations were exceeded, and I find the subject matter highly interesting

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Maybe more discussion. I felt like each part was well laid out

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

Highly because I would love to work in foreign service/ policy abroad

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

X

POLS _____   Instructor _____   Term ___Fall____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

The readings (R+S especially) are useful

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I enjoyed class meetings for their balance of lectures with discussion in-class

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

N/A

4 a.   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high-to-moderate

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

The syllabus changed multiple times, so some consistency would be nice in the future.

POLS _____ 210 _____   Instructor _Powersby_   Term _FALL 2016_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Most of the readings were relatively useful.

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

The lectures were very engaging, as was the class discussion.

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I didn't really have any expectations.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Soft power.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

The military stuff bores me...

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

POLS __316__   Instructor __Bowersox__   Term __Fall 2016__

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

generally useful — none stood out as particularly useless.

What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Bowersox is among the most engaging lecturers I've had at Emory. Great handle on content and a penchant for obscure background knowledge (in a good way)

In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

1) Acquire knowledge about the foreign policy making process

2) yes.

4 a   What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b.   What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5.   How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high - to - moderate

6.   (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

never change, Bowersox

POLS _3 16_   Instructor _BOWELSOX_   Term _FA 2010_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1.   What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I found the CIS book informative but redundant & longwinded

I found the R&S book semi-helpful in early chapters but less so in later chapters

2.   What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Professors numor, engagement in class

3.   In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

expect: To learn about how US interacts w. other countries

reality learned about domestic factors of making foreign decisions, ~~the~~ ~~one~~

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High - moderate
Interested in Economic Development of underdeveloped countries & US role

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Fine the way it is! Attendance quizzes are very fair. Midterm reflected course material. Participation papers good opportunity to show we're learning. Love this professor!

3/14/2012

POLS _316_   Instructor _Bowerson_   Term _Fall 16_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

The readings in the textbook (Rosati and Scott) were very useful, but the Carter and Scott book was redundant

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

the lectures were great, engaging and funny. knew how to engage students

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I was really excited about the material which turned out to be more factual and less theoretical than expected

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Theory, more theory engagement + analysis

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

None, well-balanced course

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high, military action is interesting and he presented it well

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

More specific guidelines for assignments would have been helpful. Step by step instructions for the group paper and presentation specifically

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Great course materials

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Always engaging, never boring

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected Foreign Policy related material and was pleasantly greeted by foreign policy material

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

All parts recieved perfect attention

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High, Fascinating and well taught

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?