DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring '17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings were informative, but felt irrelevant

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Interesting topics + discussion, lectures good, materials handled properly

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

To learn about HR Expectations were met

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

current issues + events need more attention. The interested me the most

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

/

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high-to-moderate because it's an important topic

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

/

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Peterson Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

The whole course was based on the articles. They were essential to doing well in the class

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I think lectures would have been more interesting if the articles aided the lectures, rather than being the main focus

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I feel like my expectations were generally met - I learned more about different types of human right measures + violations

(OVER)

3/14/2013

a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

Moderate – course material is interesting but not presented man interesting manner.

(If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

POLS _____ Instructor _____ Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

They were OK. Not very interesting too methods based

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

The professor was always excited we tried.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected it to be more about HR in practice, Strategizing what to do about advocacy. It was more of data on what may or may not work.

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A Maybe case studies

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

all the theory x2

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate

± I was boring and not very useful

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Make it more of advocacy and strategy than H's

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 357 Instructor DY. BOWERSOX Term SPRING 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

they were useful and well rounded.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

the class material was very interesting and something I engaged with

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

yes

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

NA – he did a good job

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowerson Term Spring 17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

It was an interesting class, articles were relatively too long. However, very useful information

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were good, with these was more info provided Discussion was also helpful for the class.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

My expectations were it would be difficult but good information. This course definitely exceeded that

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

It was high interest for me especially for what I wanted to do

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Great course, great professor It is a good class with good material

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

All readings were equally appropriate and fitting for the given juncture in the class; asking me to choose one would be like asking a mother to pick amongst her kids.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Dr. Bowersox is a very engaging prof. and class discussions take on an easy flow that is conversational, yet intellectual.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Enjoyed as is.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

See above

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high interest - important material well covered.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Pearson Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Most of them were pretty useful, definitely the Poe & Tate 1994 one

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

lectures were good, room was terrible This class needs more space, literally

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I did not exactly know what to expect

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

The fact that human rights discourse is very western could be highlighted some more.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

The first couple of readings from the book although probably necessary it was very boring

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High one of my favorite classes

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Get another room There is literally no space for discussions There is no sunlight & fresh air

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 311 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

interesting, relevant, always thoroughly discussed in class

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

- open for questions + dialogue, began with current events

- lectures and powerpoints helpful in understanding readings + material

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

- not as much "factual" knowledge as I thought, instead, a ton of theory

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

factual, real world application

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

- certain theories were overdone

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. **What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?**

The readings could be too dense w/ what we were wanting to get out of it but very useful for human rights data and methods knowledge.

2. **What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?**

The professor explains and outlines/posts clear lecture material

3. **In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?**

I expected to gain much more factual based knowledge on different human rights issues and I did

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. **What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?**

We should spend more time on talking about readings relating to current issues/events

4 b. **What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?**

Too much focus on the way the papers were conducted/researched.

5. **How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?**

High to moderate The subject itself is interesting and necessary.

6. **(If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?**

A day for solely discussion & less long-paged readings but shorter readings w/ more analysis of it.

POLS 317 Instructor [illegible] Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

They were all reasonable and relevant except for one 90-paged reading

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

There could have been more discussion but that was the fault of the students

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I didn't think it would be based heavily on quantitative research studies but otherwise it met my expectations

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

[illegible]

—

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

—

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high to moderate - the topic is interesting but research oriented readings were not

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Zachary Peterson Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings are useful and interesting all

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Great.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected to learn more about human rights

It is beyond my expectations

It does not only let me know more about HR issues but also develop my research skills

(OVER)

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bonelsol Term Spring '17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

couldn't read all be too much reading per week

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

lectures went over readings and real life application

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

expected to be boring, but was interesting and put made it fun!

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

All the

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

didn't expect exam to be completely about the reading, only

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high-to-moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Relevant and Useful

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were good and encouraged discussion and participation.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Course was what I expected

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high-to-moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

N/A

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowers07 Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

they were too lengthy and confusing

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

not enough discussion

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I thought it would be more about real-world topics

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

60 more about current news and policies

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least? the re research databases

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate to low because wasn't too interesting or relevant

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

more discussions
no

POLS 317 Instructor Zachary Baumgartner Term 2017-1

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

There are a wide range of reading on different topics. The readings on how to interpret quantitative data was very helpful for research.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I liked that the somewhat "dull" aspects of human rights research was made more accessible through the professor sharing how data is actually collected and going how flaws in research are created

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I had good expectations about the theory behind it, and those were well met.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

The implementation policies should have been brought up a bit more

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Quantitative data analysis

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high to moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

More frequent assessments

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term SP 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

too long books

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

he was funny

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Hah I thought this class would be a lot more interesting

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

idk

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

a lit of the surveys

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

All readings except for the ones in the book were useful. I did not use the text/book at all.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were always interesting though I wish he would have included media in them to better explain concepts.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

My expectations were met for the most part though I wish we got to maybe work in groups/peers rather than just listening to the lectures

time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High to moderate - one of the most interesting courses I've ever taken.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Incorporate media into presentations & make some assignments group work

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 30 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

some were long but overall good

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

typical pols class

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS GLOBAL HUMAN RIGHTS Instructor BOWERSOX Term SP 12

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized? I thought we'd focus more on the actual rights / violations in contemporary news than the readings

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most? See question 3

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high - to - moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring '17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I think that the readings were fine. Some were very long

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

discussions were interesting - wish there was more real world examples / discussions

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I was expecting to talk about human rights, but the majority of course was quantitative.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

more on human rights violations

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Quantitative Methods

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate - to - low

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

make more applicable to the real world.

More violation discussions.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Dr. Bowersox Term FD Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Some of the readings seem to long

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

The class meetings were good because the instructer engaged the class well

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Yes I expected to learn flaws and reality of human rights laws

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Non

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Non

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high to moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Non

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?
All readings were useful specially after we got time to discuss them in class

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?
Professor was engaging and made sure the material was understood before he went on to the next topic Lectures were good but some people did not do the readings which hindered discussion but it wasn't the professor's fault

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?
My expectation was that we would discuss modern human rights abuses. They were met and I also learned more about data and research design which I barely covered before.

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Everything was well balanced in my opinion

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Everything was well balanced in my opinion

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High, I was interested in the material and the professor was engaging.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Maybe adding reading quizzes because some students would not read but later complained about how hard the exam was.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I really enjoyed the readings for this course. I thought they were organized well by topic area and gave a good overview of relevent academic human rights literature. I thought the pieces that showed differing perspectives on issues were useful, such as the CIRI vs. PTS and articles.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I thought class discussions and lectures were useful. I particularly liked the inclusion of current events.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I was expecting a thorough overview of contemporary human rights issues from a political science lense. My expectations were definitely met!

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

I thought the elements of the curse each recieved a good amount of attention.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least? I think time was divided well between topic areas.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

This course was highly interesting to me, as it addressed a lot of issues I care deeply about.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

A smaller class size may have allowed for easier discussion, but I also think it's important to allow as many interested students to take the course, so that might not be a realistic change.

Thanks for a great semester!

3/14/2012

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings are the crux of the information, they are super helpful.
Hafner Burton Ron / Reading on Human security

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

The current events that are brought up in class in relation to our studies
There was enough discussion and a good amount of reading.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

The beginning of the course felt more like "measuring GHR" than the more holistic class I expected. However, the info is important for understanding the mechanics of HR + tracking violations.

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Domestic actors affect political outcomes / repression

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

The beginning of the course seemed very methodology / quantitative heavy which I think turned people off (try as they might Emory Pol Sci is not good at teaching quant research) but that's not on Prof. Bo

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High. The class is super interesting
I wish I had more time to dedicate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

(Maybe threaten pop quizzes so more people attempt to engage)

but again, their loss on the test / gaining from class.

Really enjoyed Prof. Bowerson
+ how passionate he was about the material.

3/14/2012

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 317 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

They were mostly fairly boring and useless. The course was not at all what I was expecting because there were few connections to what is acually happening in the world.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Lectures were okay. Could have been more reliable. Questions were answered poorly.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

NO I thought I would learn a lot more than I did. Course was boring & dry.

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

More practical stuff about what is happening in the world!

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Literally whole course.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

very low.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Buenos is great course just sucked.