

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I think the reading were helpful in showing the various aspects of the topic

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Yes, I think the setting was great

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I started the class not knowing much about the topic, but now I feel like I know a lot about the topic of human trafficking

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

The individual experiences of
Trafficked People

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Some of the models & theories that seemed
obvious

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High, the topic is so important & not
studied that much

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

More visual aid - documentaries or films

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490RW Instructor Bauer SOX Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I thought all of the readings for this course were very useful!

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I found the class discussions highly interesting. I thought the lectures were useful and the material was handled well.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I was expecting a broad overview of contemporary trafficking literature. Those expectations were met and exceeded. I feel like my knowledge about trafficking has increased substantially since the start of the semester.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

I thought all of the topics received a good amount of attention. I was most interested by the discussions of how to improve data collection and take preventative measures against trafficking.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

I thought all of the topics received a good amount of attention.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

This course was highly interesting to me, as I feel talking & studied; well-researched approach to combatting human trafficking is extremely important.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

I have no suggestions. Thank you for a great semester and for being so ready to offer help and answer questions!

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 41011 Instructor Dr. BOLS Term Spring 20

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

all ~~in~~ super useful!

Shelley was the best

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

All of them!

- regional one
- Olympics
- I can't remember :)

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

that's it would be super hard

↳ it sorta was

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?
EVERYTHING WAS INTERESTING
DONT CHANGE ANYTHING

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?
N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?
ATT  = High

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?
I GUESS IF IT WOULDN'T BE ONE A LITTLE?
(but I think people can survive @ 4
3 hour class)

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490RW Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

I might more readings about the systems of oppression in regards to trafficking would have been useful

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

U great!

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

great

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a.

What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

great

4 b.

What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

great

5.

How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

great

6.

(If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

great

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490RW-001 Instructor POWERSOX Term SPR 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?



2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

yep

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

To learn more about
trafficking, yes

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

It was great.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

They were great.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I really enjoyed the discussion in the ~~black~~ seminar format

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

N/A

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Good balance.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

N/A

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 RU Instructor Baumerson Term Spring '17

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Overall, I thought the readings were interesting and applicable to the course.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

The discussion style classes were helpful for the material.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I had no expectations.

(OVER)

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Overall course was distributed well.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High, interesting subject + handled well.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

No

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Substantive & diverse

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Give this man tenure

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

tons of knowledge gained

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

100



4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?



5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?



POLS 440

Instructor S. D. LernerTerm Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

most were helpful, liked the formal material

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

All was good

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Yes

4 a.

What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

Everything was good

4 b.

What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

None

5.

How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high interest

5.

(If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

None

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490R Instructor Dawson Term _____

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Great readings across the board.
All material felt useful.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Bowesov is Fantastic at Cultivating
Conversation & discussion. This felt
like the perfect introduction to
the subject.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected an introduction to human
trafficking, yes this was
accomplished.

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?



DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Baverek Term Fall

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

All were good

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Discussions were
excellent

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Expectations
realized

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

All parts
good

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

Data parts =
boring

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high to
moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

NA

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 2017

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?
2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?
3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

None

/

post expectations

(OVER)

4 a.

What part of the course should have received more attention, e.g. more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b.

What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5.

How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

5.

(If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?



DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Baersox Term Spring

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Very helpful.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Great lectures. Interesting and readable.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

Yes.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

N/A

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

N/A

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

moderate

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Great semester w/ Prof. Borsari,
highly recommend