

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 WR Instructor Mr. Bowensox Term Spring 2018

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Amazing. The articles are all very interesting.  
If he ~~wasn't~~ hadn't assigned those articles it would take me forever to find them online/at the library.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Mr. Bowensox is ~~an~~ doing a really good job teaching.

He makes the class sound so interesting. He is very great at organizing the class and getting everyone to learn and participate.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected to be very challenged and I expected to regret picking a 400 level research and writing class. I am very pleased by the outcome. I have learned more than I even imagined (he knows so much!). I have been very challenged (yet in a good (OVER) <sup>way</sup>)  
I wish I knew more and such great profs.

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

I think everything was organized perfectly.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

//

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

~~moderate~~ high!

I wasn't too interested when I enrolled but now I am very interested

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

P.S. Mr. Bowersox is the best professor I had in my entire life!

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490RW Instructor BOWERSOX Term Fall Spring 2018

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

The readings were very interesting, sometimes long I grew to appreciate them by the end.

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I lived discussing the papers & critiquing them, it helped me learn a lot more about trafficking.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I expected it to be a lot harder than it was.

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

More time on strategies to combat trafficking.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

less time on the textbook, Shelley was meh, studies were more interesting.

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

High! super interesting, engaging, and I learned a lot.

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Bowersox is the best

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor BOWERSOX Term \_\_\_\_\_

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings Were useful

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Very discussion rated, Prof  
is open to conversation being  
driven by students

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

Bowersox rocks! Emory has been lucky to have him.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490R-W001 Instructor Tashay Baverso Term Spring 2018

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Readings very interesting and appropriate to the course.

(Literally, every reading was relevant and useful.)

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

Sometimes we didn't even have enough time to discuss all the interesting topics taught in this course.

Material was handled very poorly.

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

They were realized.

- Do field research
- Learn the process of Human trafficking
- how to combat Human trafficking

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

more emphasis on the role of NGOs and  
or HR activists that strive for the abolishment  
of HT.

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

none

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

HIGH.

from a scale of 1 to 10, i would  
rate it an 11

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

~~~~~

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490- Instructor Bowersox Term Spr 2019

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

*very engaging and understandable - readings  
were not dry & came from a variety of different  
sources*

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

*professor bowersox is very enthusiastic and a  
brilliant professor; amazing lectures -  
answered questions well & made sure students  
understood*

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

*yes!*

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

all material was handled well + never a time students were not engaged

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

na

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

high, personal interest, but also dedicated + passionate teaching helped me become even more interested

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

one of the greatest professors I've ever had!  
amazing class!

## DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490

Instructor Bowersox

Term Spring 2018

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

- I enjoyed the readings overall even though some were a little dense in political science thought but that comes with the territory  
- Particularly enjoyed the naming and Shaming reading as well as the organized crime, labor trafficking, sports, and prior misconceptions about trafficking reading

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

- class meetings were awesome. It was basically a complete discussion based class and we argued and discussed the complications and issues of human trafficking

- Bowersox introduced discussions w/ good questions

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

- I had Prof Bowersox last semester and absolutely loved his class so I wanted to take him again.

- Also very interested in human trafficking issues (OVER)

- Expectations were realized

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

-I think the format worked well as is  
-maybe another guest lecture by someone  
who works in the field or for an NGO

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

-I think the format worked well as is

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

-Absolutely loved this course

-High interest

-Very important subject matter

and Professor Bowersox is an amazing teacher

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Baersox Term Spring 2018

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

*Very helpful, allowed us to understand  
the vast literature and research that  
ex. s. s.*

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

*Yes, he explained the significance  
very well and invited fantastic discussion.*

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

*My expectations were exceeded. He made  
us extremely knowledgeable on the topic  
and engaged the class fantastically*

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490P Instructor Bowersox Term Spring 18

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

*Awesome! Always relevant.*

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

*- Discussion- always connects  
Academia, pop-culture, etc.*

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

*An awesome lecturer - yes!*

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

*Labor + trafficking*

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

*N/A*

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

*high*

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

*None*

*Best Professor  
Ever!*

3/14/2012

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

POLS 490 Instructor Bauer Sox Term Spr

This is a confidential evaluation. We would appreciate a serious and honest reaction to the various aspects of the course listed below.

1. What is your assessment of the readings used in this course? Are there any which you found particularly useful (or useless)?

Many of them were repetitive

2. What about the class meetings did you find interesting? Was there enough discussion? Were the lectures any good? Was the material handled properly?

I love the ability to ask  
and discuss things relevant  
to today

3. In general, what were your expectations about this course before you took it? Were those expectations realized?

I had no expectations

(OVER)

3/14/2012

4 a. What part of the course should have received more attention, been given more time? What parts interested you the most?

I wish we talked more about  
orphan trafficking

4 b. What parts of the course should have received less attention? What parts interested you the least?

TANs

5. How would you rate this course, in terms of general interest to you? high; high-to-moderate; moderate; moderate-to-low; low. Why do you rate it this way?

HIGH/HIGH

6. (If you haven't already answered this) How do you think this course might be improved? Any general comments or complaints?

WA